From: Dave Russell/ESC/R3/USEPA/US

**Sent:** 2/27/2012 12:16:33 PM

To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:

Subject: Re: HT for Micro

Should consider switching to SM9215B (pour plate method for HPC) so that you have results in 48 hours instead of 7 days, unless there is some good justification for the 7 day test. If the purpose of monitoring HPC is to assess the possibility of background bacterial interference with coliform testing, then SM9215B is an appropriate choice.

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Dave Russell/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/23/2012 05:00 PM Subject: Re: HT for Micro

Yes thank you! Maybe need to talk to you about options for March samples.

Cynthia Caporale, Chief OASQA Laboratory Branch U.S. EPA Region III Environmental Science Center Fort Meade, MD (410) 305-2732

Fax: (410) 305-3095

From: Dave Russell/ESC/R3/USEPA/US

To: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/23/2012 04:21 PM Subject: Re: HT for Micro

The holding time for a micro sample always depends on the analyte and the rule under which the samples are being collected.

The HT for total coliforms, fecals or E. coli is **30 hours** under the TCR (TC, FC, E.coli) and the GWR (E. coli), **8 hours** under the SWTR (TC,FC), and **6 hours** under NPDES (TC,FC,E.coli). When reviewing Dimock data for TC/FC I have applied the **30 hour** holding time, and thus far, <u>all samples have met that holding time</u>.

The HT for heterotrophic plate count is **8 hours** under the SWTR. HPC is only used as an indicator under the SWTR. The **8-hour** holding time for HPC is stated in the SDWA Lab Cert Manual and twice in Standard Methods. For Dimock data I have applied the **8 hour** HT, and although many samples have not met this HT, the result has always been to qualify the data as an <u>estimate</u> and as such it can still be used (with a flag/qualifier). <u>No HPC results have been rejected based on holding time.</u>

In Standard Methods, the **8-hour** HT is given at 9060 B(1)b: "Do not exceed 8 h holding time for heterotrophic plate counts.", [clear and emphatic] and a second time at 9215 A(4): "The recommended maximum elapsed time between collection and analysis of samples is 8 h (maximum transit time 6 h, maximum processing time 2 h). When analysis cannot begin within 8 h, maintain sample at a temperature below 4C but do not freeze. Maximum elapsed time between collection and analysis must not exceed 24 h."

The two papers on bacteriological holding time published by EPA microbiologists in Cincinnati indicate that the bacterial community in a sample can begin to change as soon as the sample is collected. Bacterial abundance may increase, decrease or remain the same. Those findings make the last resort 24-hour option offered by SM rather indefensible, and

DIM0032160 DIM0032160

even if the 24-hour HT was applied to Dimock HPC data, the samples were not held below 4C following collection.

Hope this answers the question.

DIM0032160 DIM0032161